

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERMAN TAMRAT,  
Plaintiff,

v.

WILLIAM KAUFMAN,  
Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-01324-PJH

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY  
AND REFERRING CASE FOR  
SETTLEMENT**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 106, 108

Before the court is the motion of plaintiff to stay the case. See Dkt. 106. At the time that the motion was filed, plaintiff was soon to be released from prison custody and was without an address at which to receive mail, including court filings. See id. Since that time, plaintiff has filed a notice of change of address, and stated in the notice that he was “requesting the motion for stay [the] case be lifted,” as he now has an address at which to receive mail. See Dkt. 107. Accordingly, plaintiff’s motion to stay the case (Dkt. 106) is denied as moot.

Plaintiff also filed a motion requesting that the court provide plaintiff with copies of any documents that were mailed to his prior prison address after his release. See Dkt. 108. Specifically, plaintiff requests copies of any filings “between [the] dates [of] December 10, 2022 to December 20, 2022, and ongoing.” See id.

Because no filings, other than plaintiff’s own filings, were made in the case between December 10, 2022 and this current order, there were no documents mailed to plaintiff’s prior address after December 10, 2022. Thus, plaintiff’s motion for copies of documents (Dkt. 108) is denied as moot.

1 Finally, the case is re-referred to Magistrate Judge Illman for mediation or  
2 settlement proceedings to be conducted within 120 days of this order. If the case does  
3 not settle, the court will set the matter for a case management conference via  
4 videoconference.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: February 27, 2023

7 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

8 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California